

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/645,022	08/21/2003	Kwok Ng	12179-P112US	2918	
	7590 01/03/200 ECHREST & MINICK		EXAMINER		
PO BOX 50784			FICK, ANTHONY D		
DALLAS, TX 75201			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1753		
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
3 MO	NTHS	01/03/2007	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

			IV.
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/645,022	NG ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
•	Anthony Fick	1753	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence addres	S
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RIWHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 Cf after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicatio - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory p - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by s Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMMUNI FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a n. eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI statute, cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this commur BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	21 August 2003.		
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for all	owance except for formal mat	ters, prosecution as to the me	rits is
closed in accordance with the practice und	der <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.[). 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are pending in the applica	ation.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) 5-16 is/are withd	rawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	•		
8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.	·	
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exa	miner.	·	
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 23 January 2004 is	s/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ o	bjected to by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	prrection is required if the drawing	(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.	121(d).
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by th	e Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-1	52 .
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	reign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
 Certified copies of the priority docur 	ments have been received.		
Certified copies of the priority docur			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the	•	received in this National Stag	e
application from the International Bu	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	a list of the certified copies not	received.	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9463) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)		s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>1-4</u> .	6) Other:		

Application/Control Number: 10/645,022 Page 2

Art Unit: 1753

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1 through 4, drawn to a polymer material, classified in class 106, subclass 287.1.
 - II. Claims 5 through 7, drawn to a method of making a polymer mixture, classified in class 523, subclass 200.
 - III. Claims 8 through 11, drawn to a display apparatus, classified in class 362, subclass 559.
 - IV. Claims 12 through 16, drawn to a photovoltaic cell, classified in class 136, subclass 243.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions II and I, III or IV are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make another and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the products of groups I, III and IV can all be made by a different process than the method of group II, as these products do not require the polymer or solvent used in the method of group II and can be made from a number of polymers or solvents.
- 3. Inventions I, III and IV are directed to related products. The related inventions are distinct if the (1) the inventions as claimed are either not capable of use together or can

Application/Control Number: 10/645,022 Page 3

Art Unit: 1753

have a materially different design, mode of operation, function, or effect; (2) the inventions do not overlap in scope, i.e., are mutually exclusive; and (3) the inventions as claimed are not obvious variants. See MPEP § 806.05(j). In the instant case, the inventions as claimed can have a materially different design, mode of operation, function and effect. The display apparatus of group III and the photovoltaic cell of group IV have different modes of operation and require different elements for the products to work. A reference that anticipates one group does not necessarily anticipate the other group, thus why the groups have different classifications. Furthermore, the inventions as claimed do not encompass overlapping subject matter and there is nothing of record to show them to be obvious variants.

- 4. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 5. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 6. During a telephone conversation with Kelly Kordzik on December 14, 2006 a provisional election was made with traverse to prosecute the invention of group I, claims 1 through 4. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this

Art Unit: 1753

Office action. Claims 5 through 16 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

7. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

8. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 9. Claims 1 through 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Clark, Jr. (U.S. 6,049,090).

Clark, Jr. discloses a semiconductor electroluminescent display.

Regarding claim 1, Clark, Jr. discloses a host matrix in which is embedded particles (column 5, paragraph 3), the particles being nanoparticles (column 4, paragraph 3). Clark, Jr. further discloses the host matrix can be a polymer (column 7, paragraph 5).

Regarding claim 2, Clark, Jr. discloses the use of silicon nanoparticles as the particles in the matrix (column 8, paragraphs 1 and 2).

Application/Control Number: 10/645,022 Page 5

Art Unit: 1753

Regarding claims 3 and 4, figure 2 shows that the particles embedded in the matrix are trapped in holes and thus do not aggregate (column 10, paragraph 3).

Conclusion

10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. U.S. patents 5,720,827 and 6,515,314 contain teachings relevant to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anthony Fick whose telephone number is (571) 272-6393. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday 7 AM to 4 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nam Nguyen can be reached on (571) 272-1342. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/645,022

Art Unit: 1753

1753

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Anthony Fick ADF AU 1753

December 22, 2006

NAM NGUYEN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMIN

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700